

# CTIP 2023-Control Theory and Inverse Problems

Sliding mode control for a class of linear infinite-dimensional systems

Ismaïla BALOGOUN

Laboratoire des Sciences du Numérique de Nantes (LS2N)

École Centrale de Nantes

09/05/2023

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A finite-dimensional example
- 3 Main results
- 4 Illustrative example

**Context:** Sliding mode control design for the stabilization of a class of infinite-dimensional systems.

Linear abstract Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}z = Az + B(u + d), \\ z(0) = z_0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

- 1  $\mathbb{K}$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ,
- 2  $H$  denotes a Hilbert space over the field  $\mathbb{K}$ ,
- 3  $A : D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$  is a linear operator with  $D(A)$  densely defined in  $H$ ,
- 4  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{K}, D(A^*)')$ , with  $A^*$  the adjoint operator of  $A$ ,
- 5  $z(t) \in H$  is the state,  $u(t) \in \mathbb{K}$  is the control input and  $d(t) \in \mathbb{K}$  is an unknown disturbance.

## Example

$$\begin{cases} z_t(t, x) = z_{xx}(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times [0, 1], \\ z_x(t, 0) = c_0 z(t, 0), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z_x(t, 1) = u(t) + d(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt}(t, x) + z_{xxxx}(t, x) = 0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times [0, 1], \\ z(t, 0) = z_x(t, 0) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z_{xx}(t, 1) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z_{xxx}(t, 1) = u(t) + d(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

## Question

How can one propose a systematic methodology for the design of sliding variables for linear infinite-dimensional systems?

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A finite-dimensional example**
- 3 Main results
- 4 Illustrative example

# Finite-dimensional example

Let us consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1 = -z_1 + z_2, \\ \dot{z}_2 = u + d. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

## Nominal control

If  $d = 0$ , the feedback-law

$$u := u_0 = -2z_2 \quad (5)$$

provides asymptotic stability of the origin of (4).

# Finite-dimensional example

If we select  $u$  as

$$u = u_0 + u_{SMC} \quad (6)$$

then system (4) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = A_L \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} + B(u_{SMC} + d) \quad (7)$$

with

$$A_L = A + BL, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } L = (0 \quad -2). \quad (8)$$



# Finite-dimensional example

Let  $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be an eigenvector of  $A_L^T$  and let us introduce the following surface

$$\Sigma := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = z_1 + z_2 = 0 \right\}. \quad (9)$$

On  $\Sigma$  the system (7) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_1 = -z_1 + z_2, \\ z_2 = -z_1. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Thus,  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  converge to zero asymptotically.

# Finite-dimensional example

Let us introduce a new variable  $\sigma$  given by

$$\sigma = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}. \quad (11)$$

From (7), the  $\sigma$ -dynamics yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma} &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \left\langle A_L \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} + B(u_{SMC} + d), \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \\ &= \left\langle A_L \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} + \langle B, \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_{SMC} + d) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since  $\varphi$  is an eigenvector of  $A_L^T$  and  $\langle B, \varphi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = 1$ , then we obtain

$$\dot{\sigma} = \lambda \sigma + u_{SMC} + d. \quad (13)$$

# Finite-dimensional example

Thus, the following holds, for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\sigma|^2 = \sigma \dot{\sigma} = \sigma (\lambda \sigma + u_{SMC} + d) = \lambda \sigma^2 + \sigma (u_{SMC} + d). \quad (14)$$

Since  $\lambda = -1 < 0$  then, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\sigma|^2 \leq +\sigma u_{SMC} + |\sigma| |d|. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, if we assume that  $d$  is bounded, i.e.  $\|d\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq M$  with  $M > 0$ , then by selecting

$$u_{SMC} = -\rho \text{sign}(\sigma) \quad (16)$$

with  $\rho > M$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\sigma|^2 \leq -|\sigma|(\rho - M). \quad (17)$$

As a consequence,  $\sigma$  reaches zero in a finite-time  $t_r$  that is bounded by

$$t_r \leq \frac{|\sigma(0)|}{\rho - M}. \quad (18)$$

## Conclusion

With the control input  $u = -2z_2 - \rho \text{sign}(\sigma)$ , the system (4) reaches the sliding surface  $\Sigma$  in finite time  $t_r$  and remains on it.

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A finite-dimensional example
- 3 Main results**
- 4 Illustrative example

# Assumption 1

The following statements hold.

- (i) The operator  $A : D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup, that is denoted by  $(\mathbb{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (ii) The operator  $B$  is admissible for  $(\mathbb{T}(t))_{t \geq 0}$ .

# Assumption 1

(iii) There exists an operator  $L : D(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that the operator

$$\begin{cases} A_L = A + BL, \\ D(A_L) = \{z \in D(L); (A + BL)z \in H\}, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup  $(\mathbb{S}(t))_{t \geq 0}$  on  $H$  and the origin of the following system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}z = (A + BL)z, \\ z(0) = z_0, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

is globally asymptotically stable.

# Sliding surface

Let  $\varphi \in D(A_L^*)$  is an **eigenfunction** of  $A_L^*$  such that  $B^* \varphi \neq 0$  and  $\lambda$  the **eigenvalue** associated with  $\varphi$ . We define the sliding surface as follow  $\Sigma$

$$\Sigma := \{z \in H \mid \langle \varphi, z \rangle_H = 0\}.$$

Its related sliding variable  $\sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is defined by

$$\sigma(t) := \langle \varphi, z(t) \rangle_H \tag{21}$$

for any solution  $z$  of (1).



# Sliding mode Control

We consider the control  $u$  to be

$$u = LZ + u_{SMC} \quad (22)$$

Formally, the derivative of  $\sigma$  along the trajectory of (1) and (22) yields, for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma}(t) &= \left\langle \varphi, \frac{d}{dt} z(t) \right\rangle_H \\ &= \left\langle \varphi, A_L z(t) \right\rangle_H + B^* \varphi(u_{SMC}(t) + d(t)) \\ &= \left\langle A_L^* \varphi, z(t) \right\rangle_H + B^* \varphi(u_{SMC}(t) + d(t)) \\ &= \lambda \left\langle \varphi, z(t) \right\rangle_H + B^* \varphi(u_{SMC}(t) + d(t)), \quad \text{because } A_L^* \varphi = \lambda \varphi \\ \dot{\sigma}(t) &= \lambda \sigma(t) + B^* \varphi(u_{SMC}(t) + d(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

# Sliding mode Control

We choose  $u_{SMC}(t) = -\frac{1}{B^* \varphi} \lambda \sigma(t) + c_0(t)$ . Then,

$$\dot{\sigma}(t) = B^* \varphi (c_0(t) + d(t)). \quad (24)$$

Thus, the following holds, for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\sigma(t)|^2 &= \Re(\bar{\sigma}(t) \dot{\sigma}(t)) \\ &= \Re\left(\bar{\sigma}(t) B^* \varphi (c_0(t) + d(t))\right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

## Assumption 2

The unknown disturbance  $d$  is supposed to be uniformly bounded measurable, i.e  $|d(t)| \leq K_d$  for some  $K_d > 0$  and for all  $t \geq 0$ .

# Sliding mode Control

Therefore, we choose  $c_0(t) = -\frac{K}{B^* \varphi} \text{sign}(\sigma(t))$ , with  $K > K_d |B^* \varphi|$  and  $\text{sign}$  is defined by

$$\text{sign}(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{s}{|s|} & \text{if } s \neq 0, \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have, for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\sigma(t)|^2 \leq -(K - |B^* \varphi| K_d) |\sigma(t)|. \quad (26)$$

As a consequence, there exists a finite time  $t_r > 0$ , such that  $\sigma(t) = 0$  for any  $t \geq t_r$ .

## Conclusion

With the control input  $u(t) = Lz(t) - \frac{1}{B^* \varphi} \left( \lambda \sigma(t) + K \text{sign}(\sigma(t)) \right)$ , the system (1) reaches the sliding surface  $\Sigma$  in finite time  $t_r$  and remains on it.

## Closed-loop system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}z = A_L z + B \left( d - \frac{1}{B^* \varphi} \left( \lambda \sigma + K \text{sign}(\sigma) \right) \right), \\ z(0) = z_0. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

$$\forall t \geq t_r, \quad \sigma(t) = 0 \implies \dot{\sigma}(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_r.$$

Thus, from (23), we have

$$c_0(t) + d(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_r$$

i.e

$$d(t) - \frac{K}{B^* \varphi} \text{sign}(\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_r.$$

Then, the system (27) become for any  $t \geq t_r$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}z = A_L z, \\ z(0) = z_0, \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

which is globally asymptotically stable around  $(0, 0)$  from the item (iii) of Assumption 1.

## Theorem (Existence of closed-loop systems solutions)

*Assume that Assumption 1 and Assumption 2 are satisfied. For any initial condition  $z_0 \in H$ , the system (27) admits a mild solution.*

## Theorem (Global asymptotic stability)

*Assume that Assumption 1 and Assumption 2 are satisfied. For any initial condition  $z_0 \in H$ ,  $0 \in H$  is globally asymptotically stable for (27).*

# Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 A finite-dimensional example
- 3 Main results
- 4 Illustrative example**

# Heat equation

$$\begin{cases} z_t(t, x) = z_{xx}(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times [0, 1], \\ z_x(t, 0) = c_0 z(t, 0), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z_x(t, 1) = u(t) + d(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

This equation can be written in an abstract way as in (1) if one sets  $H = L^2(0, L)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A : D(A) \subset L^2(0, L) &\rightarrow L^2(0, L), \\ z &\mapsto z'', \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$D(A) := \{z \in \mathcal{H}^2(0, 1) \mid z'(0) = c_0 z(0); z'(1) = 0\}. \quad (31)$$



# Heat equation

The control operator  $B$  is the delta function in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, D(A)')$  defined as follow

$$\langle \varphi, Bu \rangle_{D(A), D(A)'} = \varphi(1)u \quad (32)$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in D(A)$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{D(A), D(A)'}$  is the dual product. The adjoint operator of  $A$  is

$$\begin{aligned} A^* : D(A^*) \subset H &\rightarrow H, \\ z &\mapsto z'', \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

with  $D(A^*) := \{z \in \mathcal{H}^2(0, 1) \mid z'(0) = c_0 z(0); z'(1) = 0\}$ . It can be checked that the operator  $A$  is self-adjoint in  $H$ . The adjoint of operator of  $B$  is

$$\begin{aligned} B^* : D(A^*) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi(1). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

- (J-J. Liu and J-M Wang, 2015) The operators  $A$  and  $B$  satisfy the items (i) and (ii) Assumption 1.
- (J-J. Liu and J-M Wang, 2015) The origin of

$$\begin{cases} z_t(t, x) = z_{xx}(t, x), & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times [0, 1], \\ z_x(t, 0) = c_0 z(t, 0), & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z_x(t, 1) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x), \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

is globally exponentially stable in  $H$ . Thus, Item (iii) of Assumption 1 holds for the operator  $L$  equal to the zero operator.

# Heat equation

The eigenpairs  $(\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)$  of  $A$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \lambda < 0, \\ \varphi_\lambda(x) = \cos(\sqrt{-\lambda}x) + \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{-\lambda}} \sin(\sqrt{-\lambda}x), \\ \sqrt{-\lambda} \tan(\sqrt{-\lambda}) = c_0. \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

The sliding variable and the feedback law under consideration are as follows

$$\sigma(t) = \int_0^L z(t, x) \varphi_\lambda(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad u(t) = -\frac{1}{\varphi_\lambda(1)} (\lambda \sigma(t) + K \text{sign}(\sigma(t))). \quad (37)$$

# Heat equation

- $c_0 = 0.5,$
- $\lambda = -2c_0 - \pi^2$
- $K = 2.5$
- $z_0(x) = 10x^3$
- $d(t) = 2 \sin(t)$

